

## Performance Evaluation for (Department Head) Administrative Employees

Employee Name: Department:					
Title:					
Period Covered B	y Review:	th	nru		
Please indicate you next to the appro			,	mance by checkin	g the appropriate box
next to the appre	priate rating i	or cach catego	ıy.		
	ION AND LEA	_		££	-l 2
			•	ff and student emp manage budget (if	•
does the maividu	iai estabiisii ue	epartifieritai pia	ris ariu goais,	manage budget (ii	арргорпате):
Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Not Applicable
_					
Comments:					
2. INTERPER	CONAL DELAT	IONS AND CO			
				cooperatively wit	h colleagues and can
		•		ents and divisions	_
		•	•		
Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Not Applicable

Comments:

2	COL 41	AL INTL	CATIONI	CIZILLO
3	COIVII	VII JIXII(	CATION	$\times$ KILL $\times$

How well does the administrator effectively communicate both orally and in writing? Is the individual able to effectively relate ideas and points of view to others? How well does the individual listen?

Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Not Applicable

_						
	$\sim$	m	m	$\sim$	nts	•
_	u	111			ILLO	

4. FULFILLMENT OF ASSIGNED DUTIES AND FOLLOW THROUGH

Evidence that the administrator has performed their duties and responsibilities and has followed through on mutually agreed upon goals and objectives.

Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Not Applicable

#### Comments:

# 5. ENTHUSIASM, FLEXIBILITY, CREATIVITY, AND OPENNESS TO NEW IDEAS Evidence of ability to adapt to new and changing conditions; ability to handle the unexpected and to generate new ideas and innovative approaches; ability to combine what is good about the present with the need for change; demonstration of a positive attitude toward work, students, and colleagues.

Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Not Applicable

#### Comments:

6.	<b>INSTITU</b>	TIONAL	ORIFNT	ATION

Does the administrator have the ability to put the welfare of the institution above the welfare of their department? Does the administrator advocate for their department and support the efforts/initiatives of those who report to them? Does the individual understand the institutional mission and perform accordingly?

Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Not Applicable

		าts:

#### 7. PROFESSIONAL GROWTH AND DEVELOPMENT

Evidence of interest in the profession; evidence of efforts at self-improvement; evidence of participation in state, regional, and national professional organizations; evidence of other professional development activities.

Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Not Applicable

#### Comments:

#### 8. COMMUNITY AND REGIONAL SERVICE

Does the individual contribute to the welfare of the wider community as evidenced by records of volunteer service or community leadership?

Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Not Applicable

#### Comments:

### OVERALL RATING

Outstanding	Good	Satisfactory	Poor	Unsatisfactory	Not Applicable

Additional general comments by evaluato	r: (Attach additiona	l page, if necessary)	
Summary of strengths:			
Specific areas of concentration/goals for u	pcoming year:		
- F	,		
Comments by administrator being evaluate	ed:		
Evaluator Signature		Date	
Employee Signature		Date	
RETURN TO: HUMAN RESOURCES			
SHERMAN HALL 105	 Evaluation N	 Monitor	
Date Received:			
	Director		